UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,337	06/15/2005	John Matthew Nolan	0903-004	7362
	7590 02/12/200 TENT GROUP PLLC	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 270		FAHERTY, COREY S		
TREDERICKS	DOKO, VA 22404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2183		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

tammy@ppglaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,337	NOLAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Corey S. Faherty	2183					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 February 2009</u>. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), of appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS 	r any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	.,, ,		ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Eddie P Chan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2183	Corey S Faherty Examiner Art Unit: 2183						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant continues to argue for subject matter that is not actually recited in the claims. The examiner recognizes the differences between the teachings of the prior art and the invention of the instant application, but respectfully points out that those differences are not explicitly recited in the claim language.

For instance, applicant states that the claim requires a master device that is "restricted at certain period of times when it can send the transfer control signal." The examiner respectfully submits that this statement is erroneous. As evidence of this requirement, applicant cites the portion of the claim that states "transmits control command signals only when its cycle counter ... is within a predetermined range." The examiner respectfully points out that the "predetermined range" could include every possible value that the counter could hold, and thus the above limitation does very little to limit the scope of the claim.

The same is true of the claim phrase "only when its cycle counter reaches a predetermined value". This limitation can reasonably be interpreted to mean that, once the counter has reached that value, it may act on the signal at any subsequent point in time. What applicant apparently intends this limitation to require is "only during a time when its cycle counter holds a predetermined value". However, this is completely different from what is actually claimed.

Thus, the claims as presently recited continue to be very different from the subject matter that applicant is arguing for, and the arguments are therefore not persuasive.